

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/571,288	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HEEB ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Nicholas P. D'Aniello	<b>Art Unit</b> 1735	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Nicholas P. D'Aniello.

(2) Kevin McHenry.

**Date of Interview:** 20 October 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
n/a

Claims discussed:  
all

Prior art documents discussed:  
n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*An Examiner's Amendment was proposed and accepted by applicant. The amendment includes cancelling the product claims and claims dependent on the independent product claims in the application. Independent method claim 22 is the sole independent claim and is allowable over the prior art.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nicholas P D'Aniello/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1735

**Status of Application:** allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)